

Natural Bracing in Trees: INDUSTRY QUESTIONNAIRE

Duncan Slater BSC BAMSC PGDip PhD MArbora MICFor





A brief introduction into natural bracing

Definition

A **'natural brace'** is a structure formed above a junction, in the crown of a tree, which restricts the junction's movement.

- Without mechanical stimulus, the centre of a junction will not develop normally.
- A bark-included junction will develop in this situation (in most cases)

An example of natural bracing





An example of natural bracing





A very common phenomenon





The effect of natural bracing...

Stage 1 Naturally braced

1

Stage 2 Natural brace lost

> Stage 3 Junction repairing



Stage 4

Stability Repair complete

Natural bracing can explain a lot of tree morphology and failures



Do arborists cut out natural braces?... Unfortunately, yes!



Key outcomes from this research

- The primary cause of BI junctions is via natural bracing
- We can formatively prune trees to prevent the creation of BI junctions
 - BI junctions should be assessed by taking into account any natural bracing – they do not inevitably fail

Tree pruning guidelines and standards need to be updated

Education & Training changes

- 1985 model for branch attachment 🗰
- "Compression forks" 🗱
- Axiom of uniform stress **X**
- That if a BI junction has large bulges associated with it, it is by default more dangerous than one with no or only small bulges associated with it

Large bulges around BI junctions







Industry Questionnaire

Questionnaire Details

- Data comes from eleven Fork Workshops run between August and September 2016
- Completed by 348 attendees
- Some fantastic results from this questionnaire:
 - Individualism evident,
 - but mostly agreement...
 - Submitted as a paper to the Arb Journal

Average years of experience: 19.1 years

Cumulative years of experience:
6,635 years

That's a lot
of arb experience!!!



Academic/Training Level:



Country of Work:

(2)



Failure Frequencies:

	Branches	Bases	BI junctions	Root plates		Normal junctions	Long branches
1	3.3	2.3	2.8	2.0	1.6	1.0	1.9
1	Frequent	Occasional	Frequent	Occasional	Occasional	Rare	Occasional

Β

Failure mode for bark inclusions:

Tensile failure

Α



Torsional failure



90.7%



Photo assessment of three forks:

(0)



Is the failure of a bark-inclusion inevitable?:

Inevitable?

Yes

No

272

Main cause of BI failure:

	Storms	Growth pressures	Limb weight with age	Snow loading	Failure of adjacent trees & branches
	187	53	94	5	2
11 24 11	54%	15%	27%	1%	0.6%

Norway maple	3.0	Frequent
Hawthorn	1.4	Uncommon
Beech	3.0	Frequent
Ginkgo	1.3	Uncommon
London Plane	2.0	Occasional
Oak	1.7	Occasional
Lime	2.4	Occasional
Leyland Cypress	3.4	Frequent

Question 11 – Problem Species

	Genus	No. of mentions
4	Acer spp. (maples)	191
	Salix spp. (willows)	156
)	Fraxinus spp. (ashes)	143
4	Fagus spp. (beech)	114
6	Platanus spp. (planes)	66
110	<i>Tilia spp.</i> (limes)	64
7	X Cuprocyparis (Leylandii)	59

	Type of abatement	No. of votes
9	Minor crown reduction	205
	Reduce weight on one limb only	197
	Flexible bracing	124
4	Major crown reduction	115
i	Fell the tree	84
2	Monolith	36
7	Rigid bracing	18

Question 13: Crossing branches

Failure rating	2.69	
	Infrequently	
Remove rubbing branch?	Yes – 24% No – 76%	
Other actions:	Reduction:130No action:81Monitor:31Cut natural brace:26Install a brace:42Encourage fusion:12	,)

Question 14: Fused branches

(0)

Failure rating	1.97		
	Rarely		
Remove natural brace?	Yes – 2.0% No – 98%		
Other	No action: 2	238	
actions:	Monitor:	29	
	Reduction:	49	
	Install a brace:	13	
	Just cut out brace:	3	

Question 15: Cracked Fork

(2)

Failure rating	4.78	
	Highly prone to failure	
Artificial brace?	Yes - 30.6% No - 69.3%	
Other actions:	Fell the tree:173Crown reduction:171Monolith:51Pollard:26No other action:2	

Question 16: Bulging BI junction

3.45	
Prone to failu	ire
Yes – 69% No – 31%	
Reduction: No further action: No action: Monitor:	53 58 47 36 29 10
	Prone to failu Yes – 69% No – 31% Brace: Reduction: No further action: No action:

Conclusions

- These answers contribute to understanding the failure mode and common trees in the UK with higher rates of failure at bark-inclusions
- Only two scenarios split the respondents:
 - Rubbing branches 24% of respondents wanted to remove that form of natural brace from a mature tree
 - Bulging bark-included junction more research is needed on their rate of failure and structural strength
- Respondents' level of experience was related to different answers to questions 5 and 12



WITH THANKS...

- All 348 respondents to this questionnaire
- The Arboricultural Association for helping this to happen
- Have a safe journey [©]

 $Duncan\ Slater\ {\tt bsc}\ {\tt bamber bsc}\ {\tt pgdip}\ {\tt phd}\ {\tt marbora}\ {\tt micfor}$